

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States haten and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 450 Alexadria Vinginia 22313-1450 (www.jspto.gov

				_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,367	04/02/	2001	Koji Obata	450100-03146 7171		
20999	7590	10/05/2006	•	EXAMINER		
	R LAWRENC AVENUE- 101		·	TANG, KAREN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10151				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			46 ·	2151	-	
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/05/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/824,367	OBATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karen C. Tang	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commun					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 August 2006	•					
·— ·							
<u>/_</u>							
closed in accordance with the practice und	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National Stag	е				
application from the International Bu							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	it received.					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	•				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:						

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.1 14, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 14. Applicant's submission filed on 8/21/06 has been entered.
- Claims 1-11 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiriyama (US 5,561,466) in view of AAPA (Applicant Submitted Prior Art – Background Invention).

1. Referring to Claims 1, 3 and 4, Kiriyama disclosed a data multiplexer for performing time division multiplexing of a plurality of bit streams, said data multiplexer comprising: an extracting means for extracting access unit information (demultiplexing) necessary for multiplexing processing from each of said plurality of bit streams (refer to Col 3, Lines 1-26);

Art Unit: 2151

a first calculating means for calculating a time division multiplexing cycle (Examiner interprets that each cycle is equivalent to each of the each VBR/ABR stream of data that supply to the buffer, Time period, refer to Col 5, Lines 1-45) for each of said plurality of bit streams, such that a separator separates multiplexed data by a specified method on the basis of said information extracted by said extracting means (refer to Col 9, 10, 13 and 14); and a multiplexing means for performing time division multiplexing of said plurality of bit streams (it is VBR and ABR cells are different bit streams, refer to Col 9 and 10) on the basis of a result calculated by said first calculating means (refer to Col 10); wherein different multiplexing cycle equations are used to calculated multiplexing cycles of each of said plurality of bit streams (VBR is one calculation, CBR is different calculation, refer to Col 6, 9 and 10, which produce by different processor/controller).

Kiriyama did not expressly indicate said different multiplexing cycle equations derived using rates of transfer of data between buffers according to a virtual decoder model conforming to a Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) system standard.

AAPA disclosed wherein the different multiplexing cycle equation are used by said first calculating means to calculate multiplexing cycles of each of said plurality of bit streams, said different multiplexing cycle equations derived using rates of transfer of data between buffers according to a virtual decoder model (refer to 0002-0018).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kiriyama and AAPA to incorporate the calculating means by utilizing the rate of transfer of data between buffers according to the MPEG.

Art Unit: 2151

The suggestion/motivation would have been that Kiriyama disclosed the need to find the buffer occupancy information (refer to Col 7, 8 and 9).

wherein said access unit information includes picture coding type, access unit length and decoding time (refer to 0027 and 0029).

Kiriyama did not expressly wherein said multiplexing means calculates an amount of available space in said buffers based on data size of said plurality of bit streams and outputs a result to said first calculation means.

AAPA disclosed wherein said multiplexing means calculates an amount of available space in said buffers based on data size of said plurality of bit streams and outputs a result to said first calculation means (refer to 0015).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate .

Kiriyama and AAPA since the arts are analogous.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that Kiriyama disclosed the need to find the buffer occupancy information (refer to Col 7, 8 and 9).

2. Referring to Claim 2, Kiriyama disclosed a virtual data buffer (buffer memory, refer to Col 7, Lines 60-67) of said separator (refer to Col 3), wherein said multiplexing means determines an order in which said plurality of bit streams (it is VBR and ABR cells are different bit streams, refer to Col 9 and 10) are multiplexed (refer to Col 7, Lines 1-25).

Kiriyama did not indicate calculate the occupancy rate for buffer.

AAPA disclosed calculate the occupancy rate for buffer (refer to 0003-0019).

Art Unit: 2151

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kiriyama and AAPA to calculate the occupancy rate for the buffer.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that Kiriyama disclosed the need to find the buffer occupancy information (refer to Col 7, 8 and 9) and also that both invention utilized multiplexing/demultiplexing technology to calculate the desire information.

- 3. Referring to Claim 5, Kiriyama disclosed wherein a bit stream is a video stream (refer to Col 7).
- 4. Referring to Claim 6, Kiriyama disclosed wherein a bit stream is an audio stream (refer to Col 7).
- 5. Referring to Claim 7, Kiriyama disclosed wherein a bit stream is a system data stream (audio/video stream is the system data stream, refer to Col 7 and 8).
- 6. Referring to Claim 10, Kiriyama disclosed as access unit information detector for extracting access unit information (demultiplexer device, refer to Col 9); and a multiplexing scheduler (processor 55, refer to Col 7) means for generating schedule information by using said access unit information.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,367 Page 6

Art Unit: 2151

7. Referring to Claim 11, Kiriyama disclosed the steps of: generating schedule information from a multiplexing scheduler (processor 55, refer to Col 7) means by using said access unit information.

8. Referring to Claim 8, Kiriyama disclosed transfer usage of buffer and plurality of bit streams (refer to Col 7).

Kiriyama did not expressly indicate transferring data utilized leaking method, wherein said specified method is a leak method that is used to transfer said plurality of bit streams between buffers.

AAPA indicate transferring data utilized leaking method, wherein said specified method is a leak method that is used to transfer said plurality of bit streams between buffers (refer to page 7). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kiriyama, and AAPA due to the fact that need to calculate delay for the buffer occupancy and efficiency.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that by utilizing the leaking method to transfer data between buffers, to reduce the error while delivering data information, so that the data wouldn't be loss.

9. Referring Claim 9, Kiriyama disclosed transfer usage of buffer and plurality of bit streams (refer to Col 7).

Art Unit: 2151

Kiriyama did not expressly indicate transferring data utilized vbv_method, wherein said specified method is a leak method that is used to transfer said plurality of bit streams between buffers.

AAPA indicate indicates transferring data utilized vbv_method, wherein said specified method is a leak method that is used to transfer said plurality of bit streams between buffers (refer to Page 8).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kiriyama, and AAPA due to the fact that need to calculate delay for the buffer occupancy and efficiency.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that by utilizing the vbv-delay method to transfer data between buffers, to reduce the error while delivering data information, so that the data wouldn't be loss.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/21/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the cited arts don't have newly amended limitation.

Examiner respectfully traversed the argument. Where

Kiriyama disclosed wherein said access unit information includes picture coding type, access unit length and decoding time (refer to 0027 and 0029).

Art Unit: 2151

Kiriyama did not expressly wherein said multiplexing means calculates an amount of available space in said buffers based on data size of said plurality of bit streams and outputs a result to said first calculation means.

AAPA disclosed wherein said multiplexing means calculates an amount of available space in said buffers based on data size of said plurality of bit streams and outputs a result to said first calculation means (refer to 0015).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kiriyama and AAPA since the arts are analogous.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that Kiriyama disclosed the need to find the buffer occupancy information (refer to Col 7, 8 and 9).

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571)272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2151

Page 9

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen Tang

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21